

Planning Commission Staff Report



Subject: Lift Upgrades, Parcel Numbers: PCA-S-98-PCMR-1, PCA-29-A, PCA-29-D, PCA-1003, SA-402-A, SA-253-B-2 – Park City Mountain
Application: PL-22-05249
Author: Lillian Lederer, Planner I
Date: June 15, 2022
Type of Item: Continuation- Planning Commission Deliberation Regarding an Appeal of an Approved Administrative Conditional Use Permit

Continuation of Planning Commission Deliberation- Summary

On 6/8/22 the Planning Commission held a hearing on the Lift Upgrade Appeal. After presentations by the Appellants, Planning Director and Applicant, the Planning Commission open and closed a public hearing. Following the public hearing, the Commission began its deliberations and requested a response from the Applicant regarding the Appellants' assertion, that the CCC calculation was inaccurate, using an example from the tables included in the exhibits to the [Staff Report](#).

The Applicant's consultant SE Group was not present at the beginning of the hearing, but joined the meeting in progress electronically. Due to time constraints, rather than have the Appellants restate their presentation, the Planning Commission [voted](#) to continue and requested a response from the Applicant. The meeting will provide the Applicant an opportunity to respond to the Commissions' request to clarify the CCC formula and calculation, and respond to the Appellants' assertion.

Order of Presentation

- Applicant's CCC response (10 min)
- Appellants response (10 min)
- Planning Commission deliberation regarding a decision on the appeal and the draft action letter attached to the original Staff Report as [Exhibit 16](#) (45 min)

Options For Action:

1. **Deny appeal** – Adopt draft action letter or direct Staff to prepare findings within 15 working days
2. **Grant appeal** – Direct staff to prepare findings granting the appeal the application within 15 working days
3. **Continue**- Chair should clarify if specific additional information is requested