

City Council Staff Report

Subject: Bonanza Flat Conservation Easement

Author: Heinrich Deters Department: Sustainability Date: June 15, 2017

Type of Item: Administrative

Summary Recommendation

Staff recommends City Council authorize the City Manager to select Utah Open Lands Conservation Association as the holder of a conservation easement for the Bonanza Flat Open Space Conservation Easement and Stewardship Project, subject to final contract and price negotiation.

Executive Summary

Council should consider authorizing the City Manager to the City Manager to select Utah Open Lands Conservation Association as the holder of a conservation easement for the Bonanza Flat Open Space Conservation Easement and Stewardship Project, subject to final contract and price negotiation. City Council, by resolution authorizing the \$25,000,000 Bonanza Flat open space bond on the November 8, 2016 ballot and the subsequent agreement with Redus Park City, LLC, has committed to granting a conservation easement on the Bonanza Flat property.

Acronyms

PCMC Park City Municipal Corporation

UOL Utah Open Lands

RFQ Request for Qualifications

Background

On August 11, 2016, and again or January 12 and May 11, 2017 City Council has declared an intent to acquire and preserve the Bonanza Flat property under a future conservation easement.

Date Item

August 11, 2016 Bonanza Flat Open Space GO Bond (page 195)
January 12, 2017 Bonanza Flat Option Agreement (page 70)

May 11, 2017 Bonanza Flat Conservation Easement Intent (page 175)

Alternatives for City Council to Consider

 Recommended Alternative: Select Utah Open Lands as the future holder of a conservation easement on the Bonanza Flat properties, subject to final contract and price negotiation.

Pros:

- Utah Open Lands was selected consistent with the City's procurement policy and confirmed UOL is a qualified responder to provide the required services.
- Utah Open Lands holds easements on almost 60,000 acres within Utah and has well-documented qualifications to provide conservation services for Bonanza Flat.

Cons: None

2. **Alternative:** Council may choose to **not** select Utah Open Lands and readvertise the request for qualifications (RFQ). (Not recommended by staff.)

Cons:

 Readvertising will delay moving forward with the project, and staff believes that UOL is the best candidate to provide the requested services.

Analysis

On May 31st, staff advertised the RFQ for the project on the City's website. Additionally, the project was noticed in the June 3rd edition of the Park Record.

Three organizations/firms requested copies of the full RFQ; however, staff only received one proposal (UOL) by the June 9th deadline.

Staff from Sustainability, Budget, Legal and Executive Departments reviewed the proposals and confirmed UOL is a qualified responder. Should Council select Utah Open Lands, staff will negotiate the final scope and contract amount and return to City Council with a Professional Service Provider Contract.

Department Review

This report has been reviewed by the City Attorney's Office, the Budget Department and Executive Departments. Their comments and edits have been included.

Funding Source

Funding for this project will come from the Open Space Maintenance Budget.

Attachments

A- Map of Bonanza Flat Property

Attachment A - Map of Bonanza Flat Property

