

## Treasure Comments

---

**From:** Bruce Erickson  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2016 8:44 AM  
**To:** John Plunkett  
**Cc:** Francisco Astorga; Treasure Comments  
**Subject:** RE: Confidential: The upcoming Treasure Hill Discussion

Thank you for your comments. Comments on this project are public. I will copy the file to the City comment site at [Treasure.comments@parkcity.org](mailto:Treasure.comments@parkcity.org) where they will be part to the project record. Regards, Bruce

Bruce M. Erickson, AICP

Planning Director  
Park City Municipal Corporation  
Park City, Utah

-----Original Message-----

From: John Plunkett [mailto:john@plunkettkuhr.com]  
Sent: Wednesday, May 18, 2016 9:52 PM  
To: Bruce Erickson  
Subject: Confidential: The upcoming Treasure Hill Discussion

Hi Bruce,

In thinking about the return of this project, I wonder if the trade-off between 'open space' and a massive development should (or could) be looked at anew in 2016.

Instead of too many sq ft, in too small an area and way too tall, and cut off from the town's street grid, I wonder if a lot of smaller, shorter buildings spread out over a much larger area, that follow the existing street grid, might be a better solution for 2018 vs 1988.

In other words disperse and diffuse the impacts of a giant tower — by following the existing forms of Old Town, with two-story buildings on narrow lots, with parking dispersed throughout, rather than a Miami Beach mega project with one centralized parking garage...

Any possibilities here, from your point of view? Hope so

All best,

— John