

**RFQ Judge and Spiro Tunnels Mining-Influenced Water Treatment Engineering Services: Questions and Answers from the 12/17/2014 non-mandatory pre-proposal conference.**

*This information in no way changes the requirements found in the Request for Qualifications for the project. Responses have been edited for content, and summarized.*

The presentation can be found on the City's website under current RFPs and Bids.

1. Are the qualifications based on only on Phase I?

We will look at the team and see how the team meets the requirements in Phase I. We don't know exactly how we will move forward. Yes we will evaluate qualifications based on Phase I and yes we will be looking for needed future qualifications that get us where we need to be.

2. There is phosphorus in Judge, none in Spiro. Is there a possibility that phosphorus will be included in the permit?

There is the possibility that a lot could happen in the future and the permits are subject to five year renewals that may change standards. There are many variables that could impact limits. Under some scenarios the receiving water may change.

3. The water out of Judge goes to the Silver Creek drainage. Spiro water goes to multiple locations. Are there water right challenges?

No.

4. What are our current piloting efforts?

We may treat Judge water at the Spiro water treatment plant (wtp) for drinking water purposes in the short term if it meets our goals. Our current piloting is aimed at this question. We have looked at several media including the current Spiro treatment plant media. The pilot is being removed on 12/18/2014. We have some goals related to this. The draft DWQ Statement of Basis (SOB)/Fact Sheet can be found at: <http://waterquality.utah.gov/PublicNotices/pnarchive2014.htm>. The SOB/Fact Sheet discusses the goal to reduce loading in Silver Creek. There was a desire to facilitate future piloting. We need to change the way we view treatment in Park City to a 24/7 treatment organization. If we decide to treat Judge Tunnel water at the Spiro WTP and we find that it is not meeting our water quality goals, we will cease treating Judge water at the Spiro WTP, and discharge it into Empire Creek. That ability to stop treatment of Judge water ends when the compliance period for Judge water ends.

5. How comfortable are you with the contingent liabilities that are created by mine workings?

We will take the approach that we want to hear options to reduce risks within the constraints that we operate under. This project is not about avoiding compliance. If we want to look at a bulkhead etc, we will evaluate. We contract with JSSD to maintain these tunnels, and spend about a quarter of a million a year on this effort. We recently installed in tunnel communication systems.

6. Phase 1 (c) leads to an incomplete design. What do you see leading out of that?

We want to determine the size of viable technologies and other factors that need to be considered before we launch into design. We currently have zero acres of non-occupied land available for this project. We want enough information to determine what the next stage is, and whether we can go there. It is possible the best option won't fit. We have other constraints as well.