# THE National Citizen Survey<sup>™</sup>

### Park City, UT Dashboard Summary of Findings

2015



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

## Summary

The National Citizen Survey<sup>™</sup> (The NCS<sup>™</sup>) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report summarizes Park City's performance in the eight facets of community livability with the "General" rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions not shown within any of the eight facets. The "Overall" represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general).

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Park City's community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it – Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

In general, across each facet and within each pillar, Park City's ratings tended to be a mix of similar or higher when compared to other communities across the nation. A majority of facets within Community Characteristics were higher than in comparison communities. Governance received a majority of similar ratings for facets with a few receiving higher ratings (Mobility, Recreation and Wellness and Education and Enrichment). Within the pillar of Participation, ratings within the facets of Mobility, Natural Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness and Community Engagement tended to be higher than in comparison communities. This information can be helpful in identifying the areas that merit more attention.

	Comm	unity Characte	eristics		Governance		Participation			
	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	
Overall	30	16	4	13	32	0	18	16	2	
General	7	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	
Safety	2	1	0	1	6	0	0	2	1	
Mobility	4	3	1	4	4	0	3	0	0	
Natural Environment	3	0	0	2	3	0	2	1	0	
Built Environment	1	2	2	0	7	0	0	2	0	
Economy	5	2	1	0	1	0	2	1	0	
Recreation and Wellness	4	1	0	4	0	0	4	1	0	
Education and Enrichment	1	5	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	
Community Engagement	3	2	0	0	8	0	5	6	0	

#### Figure 1: Dashboard Summary

Legend	
	Higher
	Similar
	Lower

#### Figure 2: Detailed Dashboard

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall appearance	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	94%	Customer service	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	82%	Recommend Park City	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	92%
(	Overall quality of life	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	96%	Services provided by Park City	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	80%	Remain in Park City	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	88%
<u>e</u>	Place to retire	$\leftrightarrow$	1	78%	Services provided by the Federal Government	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	49%	Contacted Park City employees	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	50%
	Place to raise children	1	1	93%								
	Place to live	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	97%								
	Neighborhood	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	91%								
	Overall image	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	92%								
	Overall feeling of safety	$\leftrightarrow$	Ť	99%	Police	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	83%	Was NOT the victim of a crime	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	91%
	Safe in neighborhood	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	100%	Crime prevention	$\leftrightarrow$	1	85%	Did NOT report a crime	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	75%
	Safe downtown area	$\leftrightarrow$	1	98%	Fire	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	97%	Stocked supplies for an emergency	$\leftrightarrow$	$\downarrow\downarrow$	16%
					Fire prevention	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	86%				
					Ambulance/EMS	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	96%				
					Emergency preparedness	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	69%				
					Animal control	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	58%				
	Traffic flow	Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	41%	Traffic enforcement	Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	60%	Carpooled instead of driving alone	<b>↑</b>	<b>↑</b> ↑	71%
	Travel by car	Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	53%	Street repair	î	$\leftrightarrow$	63%	Walked or biked instead of driving	$\leftrightarrow$	↑↑	91%
<u>.</u>	Travel by bicycle	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>↑</b> ↑	85%	Street cleaning	¢	1	85%	Used public transportation instead of driving	*	↑↑	76%
	Ease of walking	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	90%	Street lighting	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	77%				
<u> </u>	Travel by public transportation	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>↑</b> ↑	84%	Snow removal	$\leftrightarrow$	1	89%				
	Overall ease travel	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	81%	Sidewalk maintenance	1	1	77%				
	Public parking	Ļ	Ļ	35%	Traffic signal timing	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	60%				
	Paths and walking trails	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>↑</b> ↑	94%	Bus or transit services	$\leftrightarrow$	↑↑	91%				
	Overall natural environment	$\leftrightarrow$	Ť	94%	Garbage collection	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	87%	Recycled at home	$\leftrightarrow$	1	96%
5	Air quality	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	91%	Recycling	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	70%	Conserved water	1	<b>↑</b>	96%
	Cleanliness	$\leftrightarrow$	Ť	95%	Drinking water	1	$\leftrightarrow$	62%	Made home more energy efficient	1	$\leftrightarrow$	83%
					Open space	$\leftrightarrow$	<u>↑</u>	78%				
					Natural areas preservation	$\leftrightarrow$	<u>↑</u>	75%				
	New development in Park City	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	56%	Sewer services	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	84%	NOT experiencing housing cost stress	Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	67%
	Affordable quality housing	$\leftrightarrow$	↓↓	22%	Storm drainage	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	80%	Did NOT observe a code violation	1	$\leftrightarrow$	53%
	Housing options	↓	ĻĻ	30%	Power utility	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	83%				
	Overall built environment	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	75%	Utility billing	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	78%				
	Public places	$\leftrightarrow$	↑↑	90%	Land use, planning and zoning	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	52%				
-					Code enforcement	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	60%				
					Cable television	1	$\leftrightarrow$	50%				

#### Legend

↑↑ Much higher

←

↑ Higher

↔ Similar

↓ Lower

↓↓ Much lower \* Not available

2

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall economic health	$\leftrightarrow$	1	81%	Economic development	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	62%	Economy will have positive impact on income	Ť	1	42%
	Shopping opportunities	$\leftrightarrow$	1	76%					Purchased goods or services in Park City	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	98%
Economy	Employment opportunities	↑	<b>↑</b>	49%					Work in Park City	1	<u>↑</u> ↑	72%
e c	Place to visit	$\leftrightarrow$	<u>↑</u> ↑	98%								
ы С	Cost of living	$\leftrightarrow$	Ļ	19%								
	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	$\leftrightarrow$	↑↑	79%								
	Place to work	↓↓	$\leftrightarrow$	64%								
	Business and services	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	74%								
s	Fitness opportunities	$\leftrightarrow$	<u>↑</u> ↑	97%	City parks	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	96%	In very good to excellent health	$\leftrightarrow$	1	87%
ellnes	Recreational opportunities	$\leftrightarrow$	↑↑	97%	Recreation centers	$\leftrightarrow$	††	95%	Used Park City recreation centers	↑	↑↑	91%
Š	Health care	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	71%	Recreation programs	$\leftrightarrow$	<u>↑</u>	92%	Visited a City park	$\leftrightarrow$	<u>↑</u>	96%
ר and	Health and wellness	$\leftrightarrow$	<u>t</u>	93%	Health services	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	81%	Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	93%
Recreation and Wellness	Preventive health services	$\leftrightarrow$	1	79%					Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	$\leftrightarrow$	<u>↑</u>	99%
Re												
L.	K-12 education	↑	$\leftrightarrow$	87%	Public libraries	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	95%	Used Park City public libraries	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	79%
hmen	Cultural/arts/music activities	$\leftrightarrow$	↑↑	88%	Special events	$\leftrightarrow$	 ↑	85%	Participated in religious or spiritual activities	$\leftrightarrow$	↓	32%
Education and Enrichment	Child care/preschool	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	51%					Attended a City-sponsored event	$\leftrightarrow$	<u>↑</u> ↑	88%
n anc	Religious or spiritual events and activities	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	84%								
atic	Adult education	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	60%								
Educ	Overall education and enrichment	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	77%								
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	$\leftrightarrow$	1	85%	Public information	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	78%	Sense of community	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	74%
	Opportunities to volunteer	$\leftrightarrow$	1	92%	Overall direction	Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	50%	Voted in local elections	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	81%
بر	Openness and acceptance	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	65%	Value of services for taxes paid	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	71%	Talked to or visited with neighbors	*	$\leftrightarrow$	96%
emen	Social events and activities	$\leftrightarrow$	↑↑	92%	Welcoming citizen involvement	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	66%	Attended a local public meeting	$\leftrightarrow$	↑↑	44%
Community Engagement	Neighborliness	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	67%	Confidence in City government	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	58%	Watched a local public meeting	Ť	$\leftrightarrow$	32%
unity I					Acting in the best interest of Park City	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	63%	Volunteered	↑ (	↑↑	66%
Ĩ					Being honest	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	72%	Participated in a club	↑	↑↑ I	51%
Соп					Treating all residents fairly	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	61%	Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate	$\leftrightarrow$	↑ (	33%
-									Contacted Park City elected officials	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	25%
									Read or watched local news	↑ (	$\leftrightarrow$	91%
									Done a favor for a neighbor	*	↑	94%

#### Legend

↑↑ Much higher

↔ Similar

↑ Higher

llar

↓ Lower

↓↓ Much lower

\* Not available

3