THE National Citizen Survey[™]

Park City, UT Dashboard Summary of Findings

2015



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

Summary

The National Citizen Survey[™] (The NCS[™]) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report summarizes Park City's performance in the eight facets of community livability with the "General" rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions not shown within any of the eight facets. The "Overall" represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general).

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Park City's community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it – Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

In general, across each facet and within each pillar, Park City's ratings tended to be a mix of similar or higher when compared to other communities across the nation. A majority of facets within Community Characteristics were higher than in comparison communities. Governance received a majority of similar ratings for facets with a few receiving higher ratings (Mobility, Recreation and Wellness and Education and Enrichment). Within the pillar of Participation, ratings within the facets of Mobility, Natural Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness and Community Engagement tended to be higher than in comparison communities. This information can be helpful in identifying the areas that merit more attention.

	Comm	unity Characte	eristics		Governance		Participation			
	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	
Overall	30	16	4	13	32	0	18	16	2	
General	7	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	
Safety	2	1	0	1	6	0	0	2	1	
Mobility	4	3	1	4	4	0	3	0	0	
Natural Environment	3	0	0	2	3	0	2	1	0	
Built Environment	1	2	2	0	7	0	0	2	0	
Economy	5	2	1	0	1	0	2	1	0	
Recreation and Wellness	4	1	0	4	0	0	4	1	0	
Education and Enrichment	1	5	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	
Community Engagement	3	2	0	0	8	0	5	6	0	

Figure 1: Dashboard Summary

Legend	
	Higher
	Similar
	Lower

Figure 2: Detailed Dashboard

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall appearance	\leftrightarrow	↑	94%	Customer service	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	82%	Recommend Park City	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	92%
(Overall quality of life	\leftrightarrow	↑	96%	Services provided by Park City	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	80%	Remain in Park City	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	88%
<u>e</u>	Place to retire	\leftrightarrow	1	78%	Services provided by the Federal Government	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	49%	Contacted Park City employees	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	50%
	Place to raise children	1	1	93%								
	Place to live	\leftrightarrow	↑	97%								
	Neighborhood	\leftrightarrow	↑	91%								
	Overall image	\leftrightarrow	↑	92%								
	Overall feeling of safety	\leftrightarrow	Ť	99%	Police	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	83%	Was NOT the victim of a crime	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	91%
	Safe in neighborhood	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	100%	Crime prevention	\leftrightarrow	1	85%	Did NOT report a crime	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	75%
	Safe downtown area	\leftrightarrow	1	98%	Fire	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	97%	Stocked supplies for an emergency	\leftrightarrow	$\downarrow\downarrow$	16%
					Fire prevention	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	86%				
					Ambulance/EMS	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	96%				
					Emergency preparedness	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	69%				
					Animal control	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	58%				
	Traffic flow	Ļ	\leftrightarrow	41%	Traffic enforcement	Ļ	\leftrightarrow	60%	Carpooled instead of driving alone	↑	↑ ↑	71%
	Travel by car	Ļ	\leftrightarrow	53%	Street repair	î	\leftrightarrow	63%	Walked or biked instead of driving	\leftrightarrow	↑↑	91%
<u>.</u>	Travel by bicycle	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	85%	Street cleaning	¢	1	85%	Used public transportation instead of driving	*	↑↑	76%
	Ease of walking	\leftrightarrow	↑	90%	Street lighting	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	77%				
<u> </u>	Travel by public transportation	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	84%	Snow removal	\leftrightarrow	1	89%				
	Overall ease travel	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	81%	Sidewalk maintenance	1	1	77%				
	Public parking	Ļ	Ļ	35%	Traffic signal timing	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	60%				
	Paths and walking trails	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	94%	Bus or transit services	\leftrightarrow	↑↑	91%				
	Overall natural environment	\leftrightarrow	Ť	94%	Garbage collection	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	87%	Recycled at home	\leftrightarrow	1	96%
5	Air quality	\leftrightarrow	↑	91%	Recycling	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	70%	Conserved water	1	↑	96%
	Cleanliness	\leftrightarrow	Ť	95%	Drinking water	1	\leftrightarrow	62%	Made home more energy efficient	1	\leftrightarrow	83%
					Open space	\leftrightarrow	<u>↑</u>	78%				
					Natural areas preservation	\leftrightarrow	<u>↑</u>	75%				
	New development in Park City	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	56%	Sewer services	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	84%	NOT experiencing housing cost stress	Ļ	\leftrightarrow	67%
	Affordable quality housing	\leftrightarrow	↓↓	22%	Storm drainage	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	80%	Did NOT observe a code violation	1	\leftrightarrow	53%
	Housing options	↓	ĻĻ	30%	Power utility	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	83%				
	Overall built environment	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	75%	Utility billing	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	78%				
	Public places	\leftrightarrow	↑↑	90%	Land use, planning and zoning	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	52%				
-					Code enforcement	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	60%				
					Cable television	1	\leftrightarrow	50%				

Legend

↑↑ Much higher

←

↑ Higher

↔ Similar

↓ Lower

↓↓ Much lower * Not available

2

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall economic health	\leftrightarrow	1	81%	Economic development	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	62%	Economy will have positive impact on income	Ť	1	42%
	Shopping opportunities	\leftrightarrow	1	76%					Purchased goods or services in Park City	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	98%
Economy	Employment opportunities	↑	↑	49%					Work in Park City	1	<u>↑</u> ↑	72%
e c	Place to visit	\leftrightarrow	<u>↑</u> ↑	98%								
ы С	Cost of living	\leftrightarrow	Ļ	19%								
	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	\leftrightarrow	↑↑	79%								
	Place to work	↓↓	\leftrightarrow	64%								
	Business and services	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	74%								
s	Fitness opportunities	\leftrightarrow	<u>↑</u> ↑	97%	City parks	\leftrightarrow	↑	96%	In very good to excellent health	\leftrightarrow	1	87%
ellnes	Recreational opportunities	\leftrightarrow	↑↑	97%	Recreation centers	\leftrightarrow	††	95%	Used Park City recreation centers	↑	↑↑	91%
Š	Health care	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	71%	Recreation programs	\leftrightarrow	<u>↑</u>	92%	Visited a City park	\leftrightarrow	<u>↑</u>	96%
ר and	Health and wellness	\leftrightarrow	<u>t</u>	93%	Health services	\leftrightarrow	↑	81%	Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	93%
Recreation and Wellness	Preventive health services	\leftrightarrow	1	79%					Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	\leftrightarrow	<u>↑</u>	99%
Re												
L.	K-12 education	↑	\leftrightarrow	87%	Public libraries	\leftrightarrow	↑	95%	Used Park City public libraries	\leftrightarrow	↑	79%
hmen	Cultural/arts/music activities	\leftrightarrow	↑↑	88%	Special events	\leftrightarrow	 ↑	85%	Participated in religious or spiritual activities	\leftrightarrow	↓	32%
Education and Enrichment	Child care/preschool	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	51%					Attended a City-sponsored event	\leftrightarrow	<u>↑</u> ↑	88%
n anc	Religious or spiritual events and activities	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	84%								
atic	Adult education	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	60%								
Educ	Overall education and enrichment	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	77%								
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	\leftrightarrow	1	85%	Public information	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	78%	Sense of community	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	74%
	Opportunities to volunteer	\leftrightarrow	1	92%	Overall direction	Ļ	\leftrightarrow	50%	Voted in local elections	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	81%
بر	Openness and acceptance	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	65%	Value of services for taxes paid	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	71%	Talked to or visited with neighbors	*	\leftrightarrow	96%
emen	Social events and activities	\leftrightarrow	↑↑	92%	Welcoming citizen involvement	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	66%	Attended a local public meeting	\leftrightarrow	↑↑	44%
Community Engagement	Neighborliness	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	67%	Confidence in City government	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	58%	Watched a local public meeting	Ť	\leftrightarrow	32%
unity I					Acting in the best interest of Park City	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	63%	Volunteered	↑ (↑↑	66%
Ĩ					Being honest	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	72%	Participated in a club	↑	↑↑ I	51%
Соп					Treating all residents fairly	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	61%	Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate	\leftrightarrow	↑ (33%
-									Contacted Park City elected officials	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	25%
									Read or watched local news	↑ (\leftrightarrow	91%
									Done a favor for a neighbor	*	↑	94%

Legend

↑↑ Much higher

↔ Similar

↑ Higher

llar

↓ Lower

↓↓ Much lower

* Not available

3